RESIDUAL EXCESS WEIGHT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN BMI 35-40 AND BMI OVER 40 AFTER LAPAROSCOPIC SLEEVE GASTRECTOMY IN SEVERELY OBESE ADOLESCENTS. MIDTERM OUTCOMES

Universidad de Antofagasta

Jeannette Linares^{1,2}; Rodrigo Villagrán²; Michael Gallardo¹; Isabela García¹; Sofía Araya^{1,2}; Carlos Flores²; Mario Cariaga²; Paulina Fuentes^{1,2}, Marisol Yañez²

¹University of Antofagasta, Faculty of Medicine, Antofagasta, Chile

² Clínica Antofagasta, Antofagasta, Chile

Background

- Severe obesity among adolescents is increasing worldwide
- Bariatric surgery is a controversial subject in this group of age
- Surgical timing is even more controversial
- Patterns of surgical weight loss could be different between patients with greater excess weight, perhaps with less promising results

Objective

• To compare anthropometric outcomes among adolescents with BMI 35-40 and over 40, underwent laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG)

Subjects and Methods

- Descriptive, non-randomized, retrospective study
- Adolescent patients between 15 and 19 years
 - Body mass index (BMI)>35 kg/mt², with obese related comorbidities and final height
 - One year (at least) of multidisciplinary medical treatment and failure in weight loss
 - Family and patient psychological evaluation ant treatment before and after surgery
- Underwent LSG between September 2009 and September 2014
- Comparation between anthropometric outcomes among adolescents with BMI 35-40 and over 40

Results

- 59 patients, 37 females (63%), mean age 17,3 years <u>+</u> 1,4 (15-19)
- Total group: mean weight 111,4 kg + 20,6 (80,7 185), mean BMI 40 + 4 (35 54), residual BMI 15 + 4,4 (9,9 29)

Table 1. Residual excess weight difference between BMI 35-40 and BMI over 40 after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in severely obese adolescents. Baseline and follow up at 6, 12 and 24 months

obese daelescents: Dasente and Tellett ap at 6, 12 and 2-1 mentils			
	Group BMI 35 – 40 kg/mt²	Group BMI > 40 kg/mt ²	p value
Total N/females (%)	36/23 (64%)	23/14 (60%)	> 0,05
Mean age years <u>+</u> SD	17,4 <u>+</u> 1,4 (15 – 19)	17,0 <u>+</u> 1,3 (15 – 19)	> 0,05
Mean weight kg <u>+</u> SD	103,6 <u>+</u> 12,7 (80,7 – 124,6)	123,7 <u>+</u> 24,7 (92 – 185)	< 0,001
Mean BMI kg/mt² <u>+</u> SD	37,3 <u>+</u> 1,4 (35 – 39,7)	44,3 <u>+</u> 3,9 (40 – 54)	< 0,001
Residual excess BMI kg/mt² <u>+</u> SD	12,4 <u>+</u> 1,4 (9,9 – 14,8)	19,3 <u>+</u> 3,9 (15,1 – 19)	< 0,001
Follow up 6 months residual excess BMI kg/mt²	2,09 <u>+</u> 2,8 (-2,2 – 6,2)	9,35 <u>+</u> 4,2 (4,7 – 17,1)	0,012
Follow up 12 months residual excess BMI kg/mt²	0,14 <u>+</u> 2,0 (-2,6 – 3,1)	3,9 <u>+</u> 2,1 (0,5 – 7,1)	0,005
Follow up 24 months residual excess BMI kg/mt²	3,08 <u>+</u> 4,1 (-3,7 – 10,3)	7,5 <u>+</u> 2,1 (5,5 – 10,4)	0,004

Statistical analysis STATA 13.1

Conclusions

- Residual excess weight in the group with BMI greater than 40 is significantly higher than the group with lower BMI
- This makes us reconsider the timing of the surgical indication
- However, it is imperative to continue to follow these patients to agree on the controversy of this intervention in this age group







