ENDOCRINE DYSFUNCTION IN HYPOTHALAMIC HAMARTOMA DEPENDS ON PRESENTATION (ENDOCRINE OR EPILEPTOGENIC), RADIOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND SURGERY



NHS Foundation Trust

Elisabetta Caredda¹, Robert Wilkinson³, Ash Ederies⁴, Nicola Improda¹, Sophia Varadkar³, Helen Cross³, Martin Tisdall², Helen A Spoudeas¹

Departmentof Paediatric NeuroEndocrinology¹, Neurosurgery², Neurology³ & Neuroradiology⁴, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children, London UK

BACKGROUND

Hypothalamic hamartomas(HH)-rare heterotopic congenital malformations (incidence 1:200000)-present with central precocious puberty (CPP) or gelastic seizures (GS) but their natural history and best treatment strategy are unknown. Given their proximity to the hypothalamus-pituitary axis, wider endocrine dysfunction may be expected.

OBJECTIVE AND HYPOTHESES

METHODS

To describe clinical features and any evolving endocrinopathies in HH patients, by presentation (CPP or GS), radiological characteristics and any surgical treatment imposed.

Retrospective-longitudinal review of case notes of children with HH seen at our centre between 1.1.1991 and 31.12.2014.

RESULTS

CLINICAL PRESENTING FEATURES

Table 1

	СРР	GS	Incidental	P value
N (%)	14 (41.2)	14 (41.2)	6 (17.6)	
Sex M/F (%)	5/9(35,7/ 64,3)	13/1(92,8 /7,2)	3/3(50/50)	<0.005
Age Diagnosis HH y (SD)	3,12(±2,46)	5,09(±3,8)	3,72(±4,45)	ns

RADIOLOGICAL AND PRESENTING FEATURES

| MRI-Grading - Table 2

		СРР	GS	Incidental	P value
MRI	Sessile (%)	21.4	100	16.6	no CDD INC
Туре	Pedunculated (%)	78.6	0	83.4	ns CPP-INC

- Over a 14 year period, 34 children (21M:13F) aged 3.97 (\pm 3.5 SD) years were diagnosed with HH and followed for 5.9 (\pm 4.3 SD) years.
- Patients' main clinical and radiological presenting features are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.
- Fourteen (41.2%) patients each, presented with either GS or CPP and a further 6 were identified incidentally (MRI) [Table 1]. Patients presenting with GS tended to be older than those with CPP or incidental HH and all had sessile hamartomas. By contrast, most HH in CPP and incidental groups were pedunculated [Table 2].

FOLLOW UP

| Endocrine comorbidities | - Table 3

			СРР	GS	Incidental	P value	Total
	СРР		14(100%)	4 (28,6%)			18 (53%)
		тот		7(50%)	1 (16,7%)	ns	8 (23,5%)
	Diamianus	CDI		4 (28,6%)			4 (11,7%)
	Pituitary deficit	GHd		3 (21.4%)	1 (16,6%)	ns	4 (11,7%)
		TSHd		3 (21.4%)	1 (16,6%)	ns	4 (11,7%)
		MPDH		3(21.4%)	1 (33%)	ns	4 (11,7%)
	Obes (BMI >2	-	60%	46%	20%	ns	46,4%
ı	Insulin Inse	ensitivity	1 (7%)	2(14%)	1 (16,6%)	ns	4 (11,7%)
	Epilepsy		4 (28.6%)	14(100%)			18 (53%)
	Surgery		1 (7.1%)	10 (71.4%)	0	<0.005	11(32,3%)
L							

- 28.6% patients with GS developed CPP 3.16 (±2.8SD) years later at 4.5 (±3.8SD) years old (in 3 after surgery). Similarly, 28.6% CPP patients were diagnosed with GS (2/4 sessile) 0.57 (±0.41SD) years later.
- 32.3% (11/34) patients (10 presenting with GS and 1 with CPP), all with refractory GS, underwent surgery 3.3 years (±2.6) from diagnosis.
- At last assessment, half of presenting GS patients had pituitary deficits compared with none of CPP and just 16.6% of incidental. Only 4 (all post-operative) had Central Diabetes Insipidus (CDI), 3 with additional GH deficiency (GHd) & TSH deficiency (TSHd).
- Obesity rates were high, especially in those presenting with CPP and GS [Table 3].

CONCLUSION

- GS HH and CP HH are an overlapping spectrum.
- CPP HH (especially if sessile) require routine neurology referral (to exclude occult GS).
- GS HH require routine endocrine review, especially if surgery which is the only significant cause of DI is contemplated.
- Routine dynamic pituitary testing (including LHRH) of all HH patients may unmask CPP and GHd, whilst prompt GH replacement may reduce the currently high obesity rates.



Puberty
Elisabetta Caredda







