# The effect of demographic and lifestyle factors on one-year BMI increments in 776 Norwegian children aged 6-15 years

Hege Kristiansen<sup>1,2</sup>, Mathieu Roelants<sup>3</sup>, Robert Bjerknes<sup>2</sup>, Pétur B. Júlíusson<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departement of Paediatrics, Sogn og Fjordane Central Hospital, Førde, Norway, <sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Science, Section for Paediatrics, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Anthropogenetics, Vrije Universiteit, Brussel, Belgium <sup>4</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway.

## Background:

There is limited information on the ability of demographic or lifestyle factors to predict short term changes in weight status during childhood.

# Objective and hypotheses:

To study the effect of parental (educational level, BMI status and parents defining their children as overweight or obese - Parental perception) and childhood factors (eating habits, sedentary behaviour and physical activity), on one-year BMI increments by the use of BMI, BMI SDS and BMI SDS conditional gain.

#### Method:

Each of the three BMI measures (changes in BMI and BMI SDS, and BMI conditional gain) was analysed separately as a dependent variable with linear regression models, using data from the Bergen Growth Study 1. Adjusted regression models were estimated for each BMI measure separately, including all the statistically significant variables from the unadjusted models.

## **Results:**

In the unadjusted models (Table 1), one-year changes in BMI were correlated to age, maternal BMI, parental perception, irregular meals and screen time. Changes in BMI SDS were correlated to age, BMI SDS at baseline, irregular meals and screen time. Changes in BMI SDS conditional gain were correlated to maternal BMI, parental perception and irregular meals.

In the adjusted model (Table 2) BMI increments were correlated to age, parental perception, irregular meals and screen time, BMI SDS increments to BMI SDS at baseline, parental perception, irregular meals and screen time and BMI SDS conditional gain to parental perception and irregular meals.

Table 1. Results from unadjusted linear regression analyses of three BMI measures on 18 personal and lifestyle factors for 776 children (379 boys) aged 6 to 15 years in the Bergen Growth Study in Norway (2003-2006)

Measures		BMI difference			BMI SDS		BMI conditional gain SDS			
Lifestyle factors	b	95% CI	p	b	95% CI	p	b	95% CI	p	
N		774 (377 boys)			774 (377 boys)		769 (374 boys)			
Sex(boys/girls)	.097	(028, .222)	.130	.034	(016, .084)	.181	.008	(135, .151)	.911	
Age (years)	.053	(.029, .078)	<.001*	.015	(.005, .025)	.002*	005	(034, .023)	.707	
BMI SDS at baseline	0.22	(039, .083)	.476	060	(083,036)	<.001*	.056	(014, .125)	.115	
Parental education (low/high)	005	(136, .126)	.938	019	(071, .033)	.470	017	(166, .132)	.822	
Mother's BMI	.023	(.005, .041)	.010*	.001	(006, .008)	.818	.026	(.005, .046)	.013*	
Fathers's BMI	.002	(021, .025)	.865	008	(017, .001)	.095	.005	(021, .031)	.687	
Parental perception weight child	.355	(.140, .570)	.001*	025	(111, .060)	.563	.312	(.067, .557)	.013*	
Eating habits										
Irregular meals (yes/no)	.267	(.105, 429)	.001*	.084	(.020, .149)	.010*	.257	(.073, .442)	.006*	
Fruit (7 levels)	034	(078, .010)	.129	010	(028, .008)	.266	014	(064, .037)	.597	
Vegetables (7 levels)	009	(057, .039)	.720	003	(022, .016)	.762	005	(060, .049)	.852	
Sweets (7 levels)	.069	(016, .155)	.113	.069	(016, .155)	.113	.034	(063, .132)	.486	
Soda (7 levels)	.003	(058, .065)	.911	.001	(023, .025)	.941	035	(105, .034)	.320	
Fastfood (7 levels)	.060	(033, .153)	.206	.020	(017, .057)	.283	.067	(039, .173)	.213	
Sedentary behaviour										
Screentime (6 levels)	.148	(.072, .224)	<.001*	.037	(.007, .067)	.016*	.069	(018, .156)	.119	
TV in bedroom (yes/no)	.079	(057, .214)	.255	.023	(030, .077)	.393	.076	(078, .230)	.332	
Physical activity										
Phys. Activity (t/w)	004	(061, .052)	.885	.007	(016, .029)	.561	015	(079, .049)	.648	
Phys. Activity (h/w)	012	(067, .043)	.670	001	(023, .021)	.948	018	(082, .045)	.568	
Walk/bike to school (t/w)	.004	(025, .034)	.775	.004	(008, .016)	.510	.024	(010, .058)	.162	

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; SDS: standard deviation score; b: estimated regression coefficient; CI: confidence interval; t/w: times per week; h/w: hours per week; **bold\***:  $\leq 0.05$ .

#### **Discussion:**

Considerable differences were found between the measures of BMI increments. BMI is affected by age and BMI SDS is influenced by the regression to the mean. However, BMI SDS conditional gain takes into account sex and age and adjusts for the regression to the mean.

Parental perception, irregular meals and screentime are predictors of higher one-year BMI increments in children. There are no associations between BMI increments and physical activity, possibly because BMI is a poor measure of body composition. Parental education level is unrelated to BMI increments, possibly because an established childhood overweight development (the parents defining their child as overweight or obese) is very difficult to stop, even for resourceful families.

## Conclusion:

Considerable differences were found between the measures of BMI increments.

BMI SDS conditional gain adjusts for age, sex and the regression towards the mean, and is therefore the preferred measure of BMI increments.

Parental perception of child as being overweight or obese, irregular meals and screen time can predict higher one year BMI increments in children aged 6 to 15 years.

Table 2. Results from fully adjusted regression analyses including step-down of three BMI measures on 18 personal and lifestyle factors for 776 children aged 6 to 15 years in the Bergen Growth Study in Norway (2003-2006)

Measures	BMI Difference		BMI Difference		BMI SDS Difference		BMI SDS difference		BMI Conditional Gain		BMI Conditional Gain	
			Step down				Step down				Step down	
Lifestyle factors	b	95% CI	b	95% CI	b	95% CI	b	95% CI	b	95% CI	b	95% CI
Age (years)	.037	(.010, .064)*	0.38	(.012, .064)*	.007	(004, .018)			024	(055, .008)		
BMI SDS at baseline	061	(134, .012)			085	(115,056)*	078	(105,051)*	025	(111, .060)		
Mother's BMI	.019	(.000, .038)*			.006	(002, .013)			.020	(002, .042)		
Parent perception weight child	.440	(.194, .687)*	.365	(.150, .580)*	.108	(.009, .207)*	.097	(.001, .193)*	.322	(.033,.610)*	.310	(.064, .557)*
Eating habits												
Irregular meals (yes/no)	.142	(025, .308)	.199	(.037, .361)*	.060	(007, .127)	.087	(.023, .150)*	.200	(.004, .395)*	.248	(.063, .433)*
Sedentary behaviour												
Screentime (6 levels)	.102	(.021, .184)*	.091	(.011, .170)*	.029	(004, .062)	.032	(.001, .062)*	.072	(024, .167)		

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; SDS: standard deviation score; b: estimated regression coefficient; CI: confidence interval;  $*: \le 0.05$ .















