

Neonatal hypoglycaemia: unchanged risk of neurodevelopmental impairment, but sex-specific fine motor function and internalizing behaviour at school age.

Annett Helleskov^{a,b} Sonja Wehberg^c Fani Pørtner^a Anna-Marie Larsen^d
Karen Filipsen^d Henrik Thybo Christesen^{a,b}

OBJECTIVE

To assess the cerebral function in children with neonatal hypoglycemia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study population

- Neonates with blood glucose <1.7mmol/L,
- No severe perinatal risk factors.

Neurodevelopmental impairment was defined as:

- blindness, epilepsy, cerebral palsy,
- WISC-IV score <70 or
- Movement ABC-2 <15th percentile,
- or inability to complete tests.
- 71, Seventy-one children with neonatal hypoglycaemia aged 7.75(6.0-8.45) years were compared with 32 control siblings aged 9.17(3.75-16.0) years.

RESULTS

Overall neurodevelopmental impairment at 7.75 years. was not associated with neurodevelopmental impairment at 7.75 years.

Cognitive function

	Hypoglycemia N=71	Control siblings N=26		Siblings 1:1	
			N=23 Hypo	N=23 Controls	P
Total score	96(93.3-99.8)	100(95.0-104.4)	0.29	97.(91.1-102.8)	99.3(104.6) 0.20
Verbal comprehension	99(96.1-102.2)	103(97.4-108.0)	0.23	100.6(94.3-106.0)	102.2(96.2-108.1) 0.52
Perceptuel organization	101(97.8-104.0)	105(101.0-108.5)	0.16	100.5(94.7-101.3)	104.8(100.6-109.1) 0.12
Processing speed	101(97.5-105.0)	100(93.9-106.0)	0.71	97.1(90.6-103.7)	99.5(92.5-106.6) 0.45
Working memory	90(86.5-93.4)	93(87.8-98.1)	0.34	91.6(84.5-98.7)	92.7(87.0-98.4) 0.75

NO significant difference between children with neonatal hypoglycemia and controls.

NO significant difference between moderate to severe neonatal hypoglycemia.

CONCLUSION

Neonatal hypoglycaemia was not associated with neurodevelopmental impairment.

RESULTS cont.

Motorfunction

	Hypoglycemia N=68	Control siblings N=29	P	Siblings 1:1 N=23 Hypo Controls	P-value
MOVEMENT ABC					
Total motor	48(40.5-72.4)	61(49.1-72.4)	0.07	42.6(29.9-55.3)	60.8(48.4-73.2) 0.009
Fine motor	43(34.8-50.3)	57(45.6-68.7)	0.03	40.4(26.9-53.9)	55.7(43.6-67.8) 0.008
Gross motor	49(42.0-56.1)	53(42.2-56.1)	0.53	40.7(40.3-63.7)	52.1(40.3-63.9) 0.06
Balance	59(51.6-66.0)	64(53.0-75.2)	0.43	58.7(47.4-70.1)	58.7(51.9-76.3) 0.53

Significantly reduced motor function. Driven by fine motor function. No difference between severe and moderate hypoglycemia.

Risk group assignment

	Total IQ score	Total motor function	Fine motor function	
	P-value	P-value	P-value	
RISK GROUP (ANY) VS.NO RISK GROUP		0.86	0.30	0.34
Siblings, baseline N= 32	99.7(94.8-104.6)	60.7(49.2-72.5)	57.2(45.5-68.1)	
Maternal diabetes N=16	-3.8(-11.8-4.2)	0.35	2.1(-19.1-23.4)	0.83
Asphyxia N=5	-2.4(-14.6-9.5)	0.67	-16.5(-19.2-23.5)	0.28
Premature N=8	0.1(-10.1-9.8)	0.98	-11.9(-38.0-14.3)	0.37
SGA N= 17	-3.2(-11.3-4.9)	0.42	-11.2(-31.2-7.4)	0.22
LGA N=4	-9.7(-24.8-5.3)	0.20	-11.8(-44.3-21.9)	0.50
				0.18

Among those with hypoglycaemia, a risk group assignment did not associate with more adverse outcome compared to no risk group assignment.

Disclose statement: We have nothing to declare.

