

Trinidad & Tobago Health Sciences Initiative HbA1C INITIATIVE

Maynika V Rastogi MBBS¹; Paul Ladenson MD²; David Goldstein MD³; Randie Little PhD³.¹ Sangre Grande Hospital, Sangre Grande, Trinidad and Tobago; ²Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD,³ University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, MO

This author has nothing to disclose

Background		Results	
 350 million persons worldwide currently suffer from Diabetes Mellitus. In Trinidad 12.5% are affected most 	Within-day Imprecision	Comparison 1	
are undiagnosed		11.0 Laboratory/Method	
 Chronic complications are preventable with good blood 	Comparison 1 Comparison 2 NGSP Limit	10.0 • 1A Integra 400+ (IFCC%)	
glucose control and large studies like the DCCT and		→ TA Integra 400+ (NGSP%)	
EDIC trials show the importance of HBA1C	1A Integra	9.0 • 2A Minicap	
measurement in this objective	400+	u 8.0 ▲ 3 Cobas 6000	
		Image: Second secon	

- Clinical use of HBA1C requires assays on par with DCCT and EDIC standards. This requires high precision and standardization and results should be monitored through proficiency testing (PT)
- In Trinidad and Tobago (T&T), a developing country no data exists on HBA1C precision and accuracy and there is no nationwide PT program. This brought into question the reliability of current HBA1C assays in use
- Pilot studies were conducted to compare local HBA1C assays to NGSP standards
- This ran as a collaboration between John Hopkins medicine international and the Diabetes Diagnostic Laboratory (DDL) at the University of Missouri

Methods

- For each study, sets of 10 samples containing blinded duplicates were created from five whole blood pools with HbA1c levels between 5.0% and 9.5% HbA1c and shipped to participating laboratories.
- Samples were run and compared to results generated by the NGSP gold standard methods at the DDL
 To assess within-day imprecision, the pooled estimate of the SDs between the duplicates (Sp) was calculated; 0.229 was the acceptable limit based on the current NGSP HbA1c standardization program monitoring criterion.
 To assess accuracy, each laboratory's results were compared to those assigned by NGSP Secondary Reference Laboratories (SRL9: Tosoh G8, SRL3: Trinity ultra2).





- Reports were generated and distributed to all participating labs and discussed in a group setting
- An international expert visited labs to offer assistance in improving HBA1C concordance with NGSP standards

Results

- Six of seven laboratories that participated in the first comparison study also participated in the second
- Nine laboratories participated in the second study, two of which

Pooled Estimate of Between-replicate	sds	(sp)	

Figure 1: Displays the results for two rounds of comparison testing . Comparison 1 shows results beyond 6% of the NGSP gold standard for the Nycocard II and the Integra 400. Comparison 2 shows the results for the Nycocard II persistently more than 6% outside of the NGSP standard. Note the results for the Integra 400 were due to reporting in IFCC% which was changed prior to comparison 2 testing. Within day imprecision was again highest for the labs using Nycocard II

Conclusions

- Results from most of the participating laboratories showed acceptable comparability to the NGSP and within-laboratory imprecision.
- Although official recommendations are to report HbA1c in both NGSP% and IFCC mmol/mol, individual countries are deciding how results will be reported. Based on recommendations after the first study Laboratory 1A is now reporting NGSP%.
- It is recommended that the laboratories using the Nycocard II switch to a method that demonstrates better performance. However there are financial limitations at these institutions
- Proficiency testing is very important in assuring that HbA1c results are sufficiently accurate to be clinically useful.
- Further PT studies will be performed in Trinidad and Tobago to ensure that the quality of HbA1c testing is sufficient to meet clinical needs.
- Practitioners in developing countries should be aware of the reliability of their HBA1C testing
- analyzed the samples on two different methods making a total of 11 laboratory/methods
- Methods included in the first comparison study were the Roche Cobas Integra 400+ and Cobas 6000, Alere Nycocard Reader II, Sebia Minicap and Hitachi 911
- The second comparison included the above methods with the exception of the Hitachi 911, plus the Abbott C800 and Alere Afinion
- Laboratory 1A reported results in IFCC% in the first study, these were aligned to NGSP using the master equation [NGSP%=0.915(IFCC%)+2.15, both are shown in the figure]
- Within-day imprecision was within acceptable limits except for the Nycocard II (both laboratories and comparison studies) and DCA Vantage (second comparison)
- Most results from both laboratories using the Nycocard II were outside of acceptable limits, decreasing the clinical usefulness of the HBA1C measurement. This practice occurred mainly in public hospitals

as deviations from international standards will lead to inadvertent errors in patient care

References

- Polonsky KS: The past 200 years in diabetes. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1332-40.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group: Intensive blood-glucose control with sulfonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). Lancet 1998;352:837-53.
- The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group: Retinopathy and nephropathy in patients with type 1 diabetes four years after a trial of intensive therapy. N Engl J Med 2000;342:381-89.
- American Diabetes Association: Clinical Practice Recommendations. Diabetes Care 2012;35 (suppl 1):S 13-S71.
- The Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group: Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type2 diabetes. N Eng J Med 2998;358:2545-59.
- 24. The ADVANCE Collaborative Group: Intensive Blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2560-72.
- Hoelzel W et al: IFCC reference system for measure of hemoglobin A1c in human blood and the national standardization schemes in the US, Japan, and Sweden: a method-comparison study. Clin Chem 2004;50:166-74.
- Geistanger A et al: Statistical methods for monitoring the relation between the IFCC Reference measurement procedure for hemoglobin A1c and the designated comparison methods in the US, Japan, and Sweden. Clin Chem 2008;54:1379-85.
- Little RR et al: The National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program: a five-year progress report. Clin Chem 2001;47:1985-92.
- Goldstein DE: the ABCs of A1c. Practical Diabetology 2010;29:16-21.
- 19. Goldstein DE et al: Tests of glycemia in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;1761-73.