# Long term outcomes after hospital based, life-style weight loss intervention during childhood

Dr Toby Candler<sup>1,2</sup>, Dr Christina Wei<sup>1,3</sup>, Dr Elizabeth Crowne<sup>1</sup>, Professor Julian Shield<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol, UK, <sup>2</sup> NIHR Bristol Biomedical Research Unit in Nutrition, University of Bristol, UK, <sup>3</sup> St George's Hospital, London, UK

## **OBJECTIVES**

- Obesity is a global public health problem affecting children and adults of all ages.
- Modification of diet and physical activities are recommended as the first line treatment for childhood obesity.
- A minimum of 0.25 reduction in body mass index
   Standard Deviation Score (BMI-SDS) after short term interventions have been shown to improve adiposity and metabolic health in children <sup>1</sup>.
- Longer term BMI and health information from adults who received obesity interventions in childhood is lacking

### METHODS

- We planned to recruit 30 young adults aged 16-25 years of age who had received intervention in our weight management clinic for childhood obesity
- All patients had BMI >98<sup>th</sup> centile before the age of 18 years at the beginning of intervention

#### Weight management interventions included:

- A general health assessment, auxiological monitoring and metabolic screening for obesity-related comorbidity
- 4-monthly follow up for a minimum of 1 year
- Life-style advice by members of the multidisciplinary team (paediatrician, dietician, exercise specialist and psychological input if indicated)

#### Metabolic screening included the following:

- Blood pressure (BP)
  Total body and truncal fat percentages measured by Tanita® bioimpedance segmental body composition analyser
  Fasting lipid profile, alanine transaminase (ALT), and oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT)
  Insulin sensitivity was defined by the whole body composite-insulin-sensitivity-index (ISI<sub>comp</sub>)
- We undertook a feasibility study to investigate the metabolic outcomes of young adults who have received lifestyle interventions during adolescence for early onset obesity.

Results of the metabolic outcomes from the beginning of interventions and reassessment were compared using Mann Witney U tests with 5% significance.

# RESULTS

- Total of 25 cases (male =10) for analysis 5 cases were excluded as they received weight loss intervention for <1 year.
- There were 21 Caucasian, 2 South Asian, 2 mix Caucasian/Black of median ages 14.1 (9.5-17.6) years at the beginning of intervention and 18.2 (16.1-24.8) years at re-assessment.
- With lifestyle interventions, after 3.5 (1.4-14.1) years, 28% (7/25) had BMI-Z score reduction of >0.25 from baseline (referred to as "responders").
- Responders demonstrated a significant reduction in BMI-SDS, total fat%, systolic BP and glucose area-under-the-curve from OGTT at re-assessment compared with baseline.
- Non-responders showed significant increases in total fat% and trunk fat %.
- At re-assessment, responders compared with non-responders showed significant lower BMI-SDS, total fat%, trunk fat %, insulin at 120 minutes from OGTT and ALT, as well as higher ISI<sub>comp</sub>, but there were no group differences in diastolic BP, TG, HDL and HDL.
- There were no patients with impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes in either group at baseline or reassessment.

Metabolic markers of a) responder baseline vs reassessment b) Responders vs non-responders at reassessment (NA= not available, \* statistical significance indicating clinical improvement, \*\* statistical significance, indicating clinical deterioration)

	Responders at Baseline (n=7) median (range)	Responders at Reassessment (n=7) median (range)	Responders Baseline vs reassessment p- value	Non-responders at Baseline (n=18) median (range)	Non-responders (n=18) at reassessment median (range)	Non responders baseline vs reassessment p- value	Responders vs non-responders at reassessment p-value	
BMI-SDS	3.36	2.98	0.017 *	3.0	3.34	0.08	0.034 *	
	(2.96-3.66)	(2.30-3.26)		(2.25-4.23)	(2.25-4.48)			
Systolic BP	136	115	0.007*	128	114	0.11	0.70	
mmHg	(130-147)	(108-138)		(101-148)	(90-145)			
Fat %	46.2 (35.8-49.1)	35.1 (26.8-43.7)	0.03*	40.2 (34.0-50.5)	65.3 (48.3-77.0)	0.03 **	0.001*	
Trunk %	38.5 (23.0-44.5)	34.2 (28.8-42.5)	0.27	33.7 (26.6-45.4)	47.2 (33.8-54.5)	0.003**	0.005	
Insulin 120 min at OGTT (mU/L)	78 (43-196)	16 (5.8-117)	0.18	95.8 (29-307)	92.4 (12-312)	0.80	0.029*	
Glucose AUC at OGTT	14.2 (11.7-18.4)	11.7 (9.2-13.4)	0.008*	13.2 (10.5-19.4)	13.0 (9.8-18.5)	0.96	0.06	
<b>ISI</b> <sub>comp</sub>	NA	3.81 (2.24-7.45)	NA	NA	1.73 (0.76-7.13)	NA	0.021*	
ALT	24	26	1.0	30.5	34	0.47	0.041*	
(U/L)	(18-33)	(15-35)		(18-95)	(22-102)			
CONCLUSIONS							References	
<ul> <li>Results of this lifestyle modified in young adulth</li> <li>Although the B who achieved a early adulthood</li> <li>Further studies obese children</li> </ul>	study suggested that ation interventions with ood. MI-SDS of all subject and maintained weig d. are needed to asse	at slightly over 1 in 4 with associated imp off this cohort has ght loss after interve	4 obese adolesce provements in bod s remained in the ention still benefit effectiveness of w	nts may benefit in the ly composition and me obese range at re-ase from improved metabo veight loss intervention	longer term after etabolic parameters sessment, those blic outcomes in as implemented in	1. What reduction required in obest improve body con- cardiometabolic Ford AL, Hunt LF JP. Arch Dis Ch Apr;95(4):256-6 doi:10.1136/adc 2009 Dec 4 Topic: Obesity	on in BMI SDS is e adolescents to omposition and health? P, Cooper A, Shield ild. 2010 1. .2009.165340. Epub	



DOI: 10 3252/	050 AU 55ESPE 2016
JOI. 10.32327	psu.eu.JJLOFL.2010





.com