Comparison of efficacy of recombinant human growth hormone in treating idiopathic short stature and growth hormone deficiency in children

Ling Hou, Yan Liang, Wei Wu, Han-Hua Lin, Xiao-Ping Luo, Yan-Qin Ying*

Department of Pediatrics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, China

OBJECTIVES	METHODS				
The present study aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of recombinant human growth	A total of 150 ISS and 153GHD children, who received rhGH treatment for more than one year, were enrolled into the study. The clinical data of these children from 2005 to 2016 was retrospectively.				
hormone (rhGH) therapy	analyzed. Growth velocity (GV), height standard deviation (HtSD),				

← GHD

-**o**- ISS

- → GHD - → ISS

between idiopathic short stature and growth hormone (ISS) deficiency (GHD).

IGF-1 standard deviation (IGF-1SD), body mass index (BMI) and the incidence of fasting hyperglycemia, fasting hyperinsulinemia and hypothyroidism were recorded and compared.

Table 1 The comparison	between GHD	and ISS of treatm	ient baseline	indicators	A Fig 2
	GHD	ISS	T/X^2	Р	4 1 19.2 *
Start treatment cases	153	150			3- T
Sex ratio (Male/Female)	95/58	87/63	0.53	0.47	
Age at the beginning of treatment	9.51±0.21	8.97±0.24	1.73	0.08	
Bone age at the beginning of treatment	7.63±0.26	7.47±0.26	0.43	0.67	
Ht (cm) at the beginning of treatment	123.5±1.16	121.5±1.27	1.14	0.26	0 0.5 1 2 3
Ht SDS at the beginning of treatment	-2.46±0.11	-2.26±0.08	1.47	0.14	- Ingh treatment duration (yrs)
IGF-1 SDS	-0.34±0.16	-0.21±0.15	0.61	0.54	A- Fig 3
rhGH dosage (mg/kg · week)	0.28 ± 0.04	0.38 ± 0.11	10.74	0.00	
Fasting blood glucose at the beginning of treatment (mmol/L)	4.64±0.05	4.52±0.06	1.64	0.10	
fasting insulinat the beginning of treatment (u/L)	5.71±0.51	5.84±1.23	0.10	0.92	
DMI at the heating of	16 24+0 19	15 06 10 16	1.50	0.11	

RESULTS

1. Differences in the age of beginning treatment, bone age, height and BMI between these two groups of children was not statistically significant.

2. GV was higher in the GHD group than in the ISS group, but the difference was not statistically significant (P>0.05). HtSD was significantly lower in the GHD group at the beginning of treatment and at half a year of treatment, when compared with the ISS group (P < 0.05).



Table 2 Comparison of the side effects of rhGH therapy at the first	year of
treatment	

	GHD	Ν	ISS	Ν	X^2	Р
Fasting hyperglycemia	15	153	19	150	0.62	0.43
	(9.8%)		(12.7%)		
Fasting	7	153	16	150	4.0	0.04 *
hyperinsulinemia	(4.6%)		(10.7%)		
hypothyroidism	17	153	7	150	4.3	0.04 *
	(11.1%) (4.7%)					

3.The incidence hypothyroidism of was significantly higher in the GHD group than in the ISS group (13.72% vs. 6.0%, P<0.05).

hyperinsulinemia incidence 4.The of was significantly higher in the ISS group than in the GHD group (15.33% vs. 7.84%, P<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS

The rhGH has similar effects on the growth of children with ISS and GHD. Children with ISS are more likely to suffer from fasting hyperinsulinemia, while children with GHD are more likely to have hypothyroidism.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Pagani S, etal. J Endocrinol Invest. 34, 366–369 (2011)
- 2. Claessen KM, et al. J Clin Endocrinol *Metab.* 98:352-361. (2013) doi: 10.1210/jc.2012-2940.
- 3. Feigerlová E, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 95,4600-468.(2010). doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-1831.
- 4. Cohen P, et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 95:2089–2098. (2010)

