## Hormonal Predictors of Growth Hormone Therapy Effectiveness in Children with Short Stature - Evidence from Neural Prediction Model for Final Height

## Maciej Hilczer<sup>a,b</sup>, Joanna Smyczyńska<sup>b</sup>, Urszula Smyczyńska<sup>c</sup>, Renata Stawerska<sup>b</sup>, Andrzej Lewiński<sup>b,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Medical University of Lodz, Poland;

<sup>b</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, Polish Mother's Memorial Hospital – Research Institute, Lodz, Poland; <sup>c</sup>Department of Biostatistics and Translational Medicine Medical University of Lodz, Poland;

<sup>d</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases, Medical University of Lodz, Poland

Authors declare no conflict of interest

Prediction of growth hormone (GH) therapy effectiveness in children with short stature is an important issue for optimizing its course. Recently, our research group has published prediction models derived with neural networks. The main predictors of final height (FH) in our model were: patient's height SDS at therapy onset, pre-treatment change of height SDS (HSDS V<sub>0</sub>) and pre-treatment IGF-I and IGFBP-3 secretion but not the results of GH stimulation tests; the increases of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 concentrations in 1<sup>st</sup> year of GH therapy were also significant variables.

The aim of present study is to analyze the influence of IGF-I and IGFBP-3 secretion before and during GH therapy on FH

## in children with wide range of GH secretion.

Analysis comprised 133 children (89 boys) with short stature (101 with GH deficiency and 32 with idiopathic short stature), △ IGFBP-3 SDS-> ∆ IGF SDS⊶D treated with GH up to FH. In all children 20 auxological and hormonal parameters was assessed before treatment, HSDS V1-HSDS VOin 1<sup>st</sup> year of therapy and at FH: GOD CAO **1.** Patient's height SDS before treatment (*H SDS*<sub>0</sub>) **10.IGF-I concentration (expressed as** *IGF-I SDS* **for age and gender)** PUB-> DOND **2.** Change of height SDS in pre-treatment period (*H SDS*  $V_0$ ) 11. IGFBP-3 concentration expressed as (*IGFBP-3 SDS*) IGFBP-3 SDS⊶> IGF-1 SDS-12.GH peak in test with clonidine  $(GH_{\kappa})$ , 3. Patient's body mass SDS (*M SDS*) GHK- $GH_G \rightarrow D$ 4. Patient's chronological age (CA) **13.GH** peak in test with glucagon ( $GH_G$ ) GHN-D BW SDS-> 5. Bone age to chronological age ratio (BA/CA) 14.GH peak after falling asleep  $(GH_N)$ GA-D MSDS-D 15.Birth weight (*BW SDS*) 6.Gender (*G*)  $H_F SDS \rightarrow D$ HM SDS-7. Pubertal development (PUB): 16.Gestational age (GA) BA/CA-D HSDS00-D 17.Initial rhGH dose (D) 0 pre-pubertal 1 pubertal 18. Patient's height SDS increase during 1st year of treatment (H SDS  $V_1$ ) For details see: 8. Mother's height SDS ( $H_M$  SDS) **19.IGF-I SDS increase after 3-6 months of treatment (Δ IGF-I SDS)** Smyczynska U et al. 9. Father's height SDS ( $H_{F}SDS$ ) **20.** IGFBP-3 SDS increase at the same time point ( $\Delta$  *IGFBP-3 SDS*). doi.org/10.1530/EC-17-0277

According to their FH, the patients were classified into 3 groups: below 3 centile (<3c), between 3 and 10 centile (3-10c) and over 10 centile (>10c). The index of difference between IGF-I SDS increase and IGFBP-3 SDS increase in 1<sup>st</sup> year of treatment ( $\Delta$ IGF difference) was calculated for each patient.

At therapy onset IGF-I SDS was higher in <3c group (-1.55±1.07) and 3-10c group (-1.60±1.10) than in >10c group (-2.10±1.09), while IGFBP-3 SDS was lower in <3c group (-0.67±0.72) than in groups 3-10c (-0.37±0.96) and >10c (-0.40±1.04).





In 1<sup>st</sup> year of treatment there was no significant difference in both IGF-I SDS and IGFBP-3 SDS between all the groups.



The increase of IGF-I SDS was significantly (p<0.05) higher in group >10c (2.72±0.94) than in groups <3c (2.18±0.97) and 3-10c (2.13±0.92), similarly ΔIGF difference was significantly higher in >10c (1.87±1.18) than in both <3c (1.15±0.63) and 3-10c (1.27±0.82).





**Pre-treatment IGF-I and IGFBP-3 secretion and their increase during the initial phase** of GH therapy are important predictors of the attained FH. Neural models are useful for the identification of variables that should be subjected to further analysis.

