

King Abdullah bin Abdulaziz University Hospital

، المعر المحدة للذين في المحدة الم

The Efficacy and Safety of Predictive Low Glucose Suspend Feature in Decreasing Hypoglycemia in Children with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus: a systematic review and meta analysis Ahlam Alotaibi¹, Reem Al Khalifah², Karen McAssey³

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, King Abdullah bin Abdulaziz University Hospital, Princess Noura University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.2-Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia.3 Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes, McMaster University, Canada.







 Hypoglycemia is a common adverse consequence of insulin replacement therapy and it is a major barrier towards optimal T1DM

management in term of worry and fear of that can affect the quality of life of both children with T1DM and their caregivers and in term of accepting higher blood glucose levels in order to avoid hypoglycemia (1,2)

• That creates the need for major advancements in insulin pump technology that improves the glycemic control while it reduces the risk of hypoglycemia.

• PLGS system works through a predictive algorithm that utilizes the glucose value obtained through a subcutaneously inserted glucose sensor to suspended insulin delivery from the insulin pump if the predicted glucose is expected to reach hypoglycemia range in the next Therefore, the aim of this study is systematically synthesize the evidence /30 minutes (3). on the efficacy and safety of utilizing PLGS system for children and

adolescents with T1DM.



- We included five randomized controlled trials with total sample size of 493 participants and study duration ranging between 2 weeks to 6 months.
- All the included studies have at least one domain with high risk of bias except one study with low risk of bias.
- We planned to conduct a subgroup and sensitivity analysis based on pre-specified sources of expected heterogeneity. However, there were not enough studies to conduct this analysis.

Percentage of time with hypoglycemia defined as sensor glucose (SG) < mmol/l (< mg/dl)

	Treatment arm : Sensor augmen	nted pump therapy wit	h PLGS on	Control arm: SAP				Mean Difference	Mean D	fference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total \	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rando	om, 95% Cl	
1.1.2 End of the study											
Battelino 2017	1.8	2	47	3.1	3.2	49	1.5%	-1.30 [-2.36, -0.24]			
Forlenza 2018	2.7	1.9	102	3.7	3.1	102	3.3%	-1.00 [-1.71, -0.29]			

main comparison





% of time with nocturnal hypoglycemia sensor glucose <3.9 mmol/l (<70 mg/dl) (follow up: range 2 weeks to 24 weeks



% of time with sever hypoglycemia sensor glucose <2.8 mmol/l (<50 mg/dl) (follow up: range 2 weeks to 24 weeks)



% of time with hyperglycemia sensor glucose >10 mmol/l (>180 mg/dl) (follow up: range 2 weeks to 24 weeks)



% of time with hyperglycemia sensor glucose >13.8 mmol/l (>250mg/dl) (follow up: range 2 weeks to 24 weeks



to 8.2

> The protocol was registered in PROSPERO, registration No. CRD42018115829

Eligibility Criteria:

Type of studies: Randomized and quasi randomized controlled trials.

Type of Participants: Children and adolescents age 2-18 years known to have T1DM diagnosed more than 6 months. Type of intervention:

SAP+PLGS

Type of control:

Insulin pump with no continuous glucose sensor or with subcutaneous sensor with PLGS feature turned off.

Outcomes:

Primary outcomes:

• Percentage of time with hypoglycemia defined as sensor glucose (SG) <3.9 mmol/l (<70mg/dl). Percentage of time with nocturnal hypoglycemia defined as hypoglycemia SG <3.9 mmol/l (<70 mg/dl). Secondary outcomes:

Abraham 2018 80 2.6 0.5 74 95.2% -1.20 [-1.33, -1.07] 0.3 Subtotal (95% CI) 225 100.0% -1.19 [-1.32, -1.07] Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.34, df = 2 (P = 0.85); l² = 0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 18.25 (P < 0.00001)

```
Total (95% CI)
Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.34, df = 2 (P = 0.85); l<sup>2</sup> = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 18.25 (P < 0.00001)
Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable
```

225 100.0% -1.19 [-1.32, -1.07]

Favours Treatment arm : Sensor augmented pump therapy with PLGS on Favours Control arm: SAP

Percentage of time with nocturnal hypoglycemia defined as sensor glucose (SG) < 3.9 mmol/l (<70mg/dl)

	Freatment arm : Sensor augmented pump therapy with PLGS on		PLGS on	Control arm				Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
udy or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
.3 End of the study										
raham 2018	1.6	0.5	80	3.3	0.9	74		Not estimable		
ckingham 2015	4.9	3.4	45	9.9	6	45	19.7%	-5.00 [-7.01, -2.99]	_	
ckingham 2015	3.2	2.6	36	5.6	3.55	36	23.7%	-2.40 [-3.84, -0.96]		
rlenza 2018	2.7	2.7	102	3.8	4.2	102	26.7%	-1.10 [-2.07, -0.13]		
ahs 2014 btotal (95% CI)	0.16	0.17	45 228	0.5	0.45	45 228	29.9% 100.0%	-0.34 [-0.48, -0.20] -1.95 [-3.47, -0.42]		
terogeneity: Tau ² = 2. st for overall effect: Z	02; Chi² = 30.16, df = 3 (P < 0.000 = 2.50 (P = 0.01)	01); I² = 90%								

Conol	lucion
COLIC	USIOII

- Hypoglycemia is an important patient outcome that can affect quality of life of both patients and their caregivers and can affect indirectly HbA1c as well.
- There is moderate quality evidence that PLGS is superior to SAP in decreasing hypoglycemia<3.9 mmol/l.
- There is high quality evidence that PLGS is superior to SAP in decreasing nocturnal hypoglycemia <3.9 mmol/l.
- There is low quality of evidence that PLGS is superior to SAP in decreasing hypoglycemia<2.8 mmol/l.
- There is no increase in the % of hyperglycemia with PLGS

use.

Reference

Diedrich L, Sandoval D, Davis SN. Hypoglycemia associated autonomic failure. Clin. Auton. Res. 2002;12(5):358–365.

Percentage of time spent with severe hypoglycemia defined as SG < 2.8 mmol/l (< 50 mg/dl), or altered level of consciousness or seizure. • Percentage of time spent with hyperglycemia based on SG > 10 mmol/l (>180 mg/dl) and >13.8 mmol/l (250 mg/dl).

> Two review authors independently selected trials for inclusion, assessed trial quality, and extracted the data. > We examined heterogeneity amongst studies with the Chi2 and I2 statistics and used GRADE methodology to assess the quality of evidence > Data analysis were done through Review Manager software (RevMan version 5.3).

Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau² = 2.02; Chi² = 30.16, df = 3 (P < 0.00001); l² = 90% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.50 (P = 0.01) Test for subgroup differences: Not applicable



2. Fadia AlBuhairan, Maliha Nasim, Ahlam Alotaibi, et al. Health related quality of life and family impact of type 1 diabetes among adolescents in Saudi Arabia. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. Volume 114, April 2016, Page 173-179.

3. Maahs, D. M.; Calhoun, P.; Buckingham, B. et al., Home Closed Loop Study, Grp. A Randomized Trial of a Home System to Reduce Nocturnal Hypoglycemia in Type Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2014;37(7):1885-1891







