

INTRODUCTION

The main goal of Newborn screening (NBS) for congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) is to prevent adrenal insufficiency that can lead to life-threatening conditions. However, screening programmes are not always sensitive and effective enough to detect the disease.

AIM

We aimed to evaluate the specificity, sensitivity and efficiency of the national NBS program for CAH in Lithuania.

METHODS

Retrospective study was performed on the data of 88 patients with CAH born from 1989 to 2020:

- Patients with confirmed CAH were divided into two groups: 1) 75 patients diagnosed before NBS: 52 cases with salt-wasting (SW), 21 with simple virilising (SV) and 2 with non-classical (NC) form; 2) 13 patients diagnosed with NBS: 12 cases with SW and 1 case with SV form.
- Data on gestational age, birth weight, weight, symptoms, and laboratory tests (serum potassium and sodium levels) on the day of diagnosis were analyzed.
- For the evaluation of NBS effectiveness, data of male infants with SW CAH were analysed separately (25 unscreened, and 9 screened).

During NBS for CAH, the positive predictive value was 11%. The sensitivity was 100% as no false-negative cases were found and the specificity was >99.9%.

Weight loss was significantly lower and the weight SDS at diagnosis was significantly higher in the group of screened patients.

THE EVALUATION OF ACCURACY AND EFFECTIVENESS OF NEWBORN SCREENING FOR CONGENITAL ADRENAL HYPERPLASIA IN LITHUANIA

R. NAVARDAUSKAITE¹, K. BANEVICIUTE², G. MOCKEVICIENE³, J. SONGAILIENE^{4,5}, M. SMIRNOVA⁵, A. UTKUS^{4,5} and R. VERKAUSKIENE¹

1. Institute of Endocrinology, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania, 2. Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania, 3. Department of Endocrinology, Medical Academy, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Kaunas, Lithuania, 4. Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Medical faculty, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania, 5. Centre for Medical Genetics Vilnius University Hospital Santaros Clinics, Vilnius, Lithuania



CONCLUSIONS

365(9477), 2125-2136.

levels.

17(5), 405-424.

2019, 32(3), 253–258.

The predictive value of a positive test was 11%. The sensitivity was 100% as no false-negative cases were found and the specificity was >99.9%. (Table 1) Calculated incidence of CAH

Table 1. Results of CAH screening from 2015 to 2020.

	Numbers of newborns
newborns (2015-2020)	158,486
ests	118
itive tests	105
itive tests	13
ative tests	0

Significant differences were found in weight at diagnosis between the groups (-1.67±1.12 vs. 0.046±1.01 SDS of unscreened and screened patients, respectively, p=0.001).

There were no significant differences between unscreened and screened male infants groups in terms of age at diagnosis (19.13±7.15 vs. 15.44 ± 7.79 days, p=0.189), serum potassium (7.7±1.5 vs. 6.89±1.5 mmol/l, p=0.180) and serum sodium (124.5±9.7 vs. 126.31±8.99 mmol/l, p=0.64)



Figure 2. The clinical signs and symptoms in unscreened and screened males at the day of diagnosis.

Eight (32%) of 25 unscreened patients and 2 (22.2%) of 9 screened patients were treated in the Neonatal intensive care unit (p=0.58).

REFERENCES ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS The authors would like to thank the patients, . Merke DP, Bornstein SR. Congenital adrenal hyperplasia. Lancet 2005, their families, nurses, and all investigators involved in this study. 2. Heather NL et al. Newborn screening for congenital adrenal hyperplasia in New Zealand, 1994-2013. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015, 100(3), 1002-1008. . Richards S et al. ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint **CONTACT INFORMATION** consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015, Corresponding by email: 4. Gong L et al. A pilot study on newborn screening for congenital adrenal ruta.navardauskaite@lsmuni.lt hyperplasia in Beijing. Journal of Pediatric Endocrinology and Metabolism.



 Clinical signs and symptoms distribution in unscreened and screened male infants groups are shown in Figure 2.



ESPE 2021